arXiv:1105.0714v1 [math.CT] 4 May 2011

BISET TRANSFORMATIONS OF TAMBARA FUNCTORS

HIROYUKI NAKAOKA

Abstract. If we are given an H-G-biset U for finite groups G and H, then any Mackey functor on G can be transformed by U into a Mackey functor on H. In this article, we show that the biset transformation is also applicable to Tambara functors, and in fact forms a functor between the category of Tambara functors on G and H. This biset transformation functor is compatible with some algebraic operations on Tambara functors, such as ideal quotients or fractions. In the latter part, we also construct the left adjoint of the biset transformation.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let G and H be arbitrary finite groups. By definition, an H-G-*biset* U is a set U with a left H-action and a right G-action, which satisfy

$$(hu)g = h(ug)$$

for any $h \in H, u \in U, g \in G$ ([2]). In this article, an H-G-biset is always assumed to be finite.

If we are given an H-G-biset U, then there is a functor

$$U \underset{G}{\circ} - : {}_G set \to {}_H set$$

which preserves finite direct sums and fiber products ([2]). In fact, for any $X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_G set)$, the object $U \underset{G}{\circ} X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_H set)$ is given by

$$U \underset{G}{\circ} X = \{(u, x) \in U \times X \mid {}_u G \le G_x\}/G,$$

where the equivalence relation $(/G)$ is defined by

- (u, x) and (u', x') are equivalent if there exists some $g \in G$ satisfying $u' = gu$ and $x = gx'$.

We denote the equivalence class of (u, x) by $[u, x]$. Then $U \underset{G}{\circ} X$ is equipped with an H-action

$$h[u, x] = [hu, x] \quad ({}^\forall h \in H, {}^\forall [u, x] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} X).$$

For any $f \in {}_G set(X, Y)$, the morphism $U \underset{G}{\circ} f \in {}_H set(U \underset{G}{\circ} X, U \underset{G}{\circ} Y)$ is defined by

$$U \underset{G}{\circ} f([u, x]) = [u, f(x)] \quad ({}^\forall [u, x] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} X).$$

The author wishes to thank Professor Serge Bouc for his comments and advices.
The author wishes to thank Professor Fumihito Oda for his suggestion.
Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 22740005.

This functor $U \underset{G}{\circ} -$ enables us to transform a Mackey functor M on H into a Mackey functor $M \circ U = M(U \underset{G}{\circ} -)$ on G ([3],[2]). In fact, this construction gives a functor ([2])

$$- \circ U \colon \mathit{Mack}(H) \to \mathit{Mack}(G)\ ;\ M \mapsto M \circ U,$$

which, in this article, we would like to call the *biset transformation* along U. Here, $\mathit{Mack}(G)$ and $\mathit{Mack}(H)$ denote the category of Mackey functors on G and H, respectively.

In this article, we show that the functor $U \underset{G}{\circ} - \colon {}_G\mathit{set} \to {}_H\mathit{set}$ also preserves exponential diagrams. As a corollary we obtain a biset transformation for Tambara functors

$$- \circ U \colon \mathit{Tam}(H) \to \mathit{Tam}(G)\ ;\ T \mapsto T \circ U,$$

where $\mathit{Tam}(G)$ and $\mathit{Tam}(H)$ are the category of Tambara functors on G and H.

This biset transformation is compatible with some algebraic operations on Tambara functors, such as ideal quotients or fractions. If we are given an ideal $\mathscr{I}$ of a Tambara functor T on H ([6]), then $\mathscr{I}$ is transformed into an ideal $\mathscr{I} \circ U$ of $T \circ U$, and there is a natural isomorphism of Tambara functors

$$(T/\mathscr{I}) \circ U \xrightarrow{\cong} (T \circ U)/(\mathscr{I} \circ U).$$

Or, if we are given a multiplicative semi-Mackey subfunctor $\mathscr{S}$ of a Tambara functor T on H ([7]), then $\mathscr{S}$ is transformed into a multiplicative semi-Mackey subfunctor $\mathscr{S} \circ U$ of $T \circ U$, and there is a natural isomorphism of Tambara functors

$$(\mathscr{S}^{-1}T) \circ U \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathscr{S} \circ U)^{-1}(T \circ U).$$

In the latter part, we construct a left adjoint functor

$$\mathfrak{L}_U \colon \mathit{Tam}(G) \to \mathit{Tam}(H)$$

of the biset transformation $- \circ U \colon \mathit{Tam}(H) \to \mathit{Tam}(G)$. As an immediate corollary of the adjoint property, $\mathfrak{L}_U$ becomes compatible with the *Tambarization* functor $\Omega[-]$ (Corollary 3.19).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathit{Tam}(H) & & \mathit{Tam}(G) \arrow[ll, "\mathfrak{L}_U"'] \\
& \circlearrowright & \\
\mathit{SMack}(H) \arrow[uu, "{\Omega_H[-]}"] & & \mathit{SMack}(G) \arrow[ll, "\mathcal{L}_U"] \arrow[uu, "{\Omega_G[-]}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any finite group G, we denote the category of (resp. semi-)Mackey functors on G by $\mathit{Mack}(G)$ (resp. $\mathit{SMack}(G)$). If G acts on a set X from the left (resp. right), we denote the stabilizer of $x \in X$ by G_x (resp. ${}_xG$). The category of finite G-sets is denoted by ${}_G\mathit{set}$.

For any category $\mathscr{C}$, we denote the category of covariant functors from $\mathscr{C}$ to Set by $\mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{C}, \mathit{Set})$. If $\mathscr{C}$ admits finite products, let $\mathit{Add}(\mathscr{C}, \mathit{Set})$ denote the category of covariant functors $F \colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathit{Set}$ preserving finite products.

Definition 1.1. For each $f \in {}_G set(X,Y)$ and $p \in {}_G set(A,X)$, the *canonical exponential diagram* generated by f and p is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[d, "f"'] & A \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "exp"] & X \underset{Y}{\times} \Pi_f(A) \arrow[l, "e"'] \arrow[d, "f'"] \\
Y & & \Pi_f(A) \arrow[ll, "\pi"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\Pi_f(A) = \left\{ (y,\sigma) \ \middle| \ \begin{array}{l} y \in Y, \\ \sigma\colon f^{-1}(y) \to A \ \text{ is a map of sets,} \\ p \circ \sigma \ \text{ is equal to the inclusion } f^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow X \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\pi(y,\sigma) = y, \qquad e(x,(y,\sigma)) = \sigma(x),$$

and f' is the pull-back of f by π. On $\Pi_f(A)$, G acts by

$$g(y,\sigma) = (gy, {}^g\sigma),$$

where ${}^g\sigma$ is the map defined by ${}^g\sigma(x') = g\sigma(g^{-1}x')$ for any $x' \in f^{-1}(gy)$. A diagram in ${}_G set$ isomorphic to one of the canonical exponential diagrams is called an *exponential diagram*.

Definition 1.2. ([8]) A *semi-Tambara functor* T *on* G is a triplet $T = (T^*, T_+, T_\bullet)$ of two covariant functors

$$T_+\colon {}_G set \to Set, \quad T_\bullet\colon {}_G set \to Set$$

and one additive contravariant functor

$$T^*\colon {}_G set \to Set$$

which satisfies the following.

(1) $T^\alpha = (T^*, T_+)$ and $T^\mu = (T^*, T_\bullet)$ are objects in *SMack*(G). T^α is called the *additive part* of T, and T^μ is called the *multiplicative part* of T.

(2) (Distributive law) If we are given an exponential diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[d, "f"'] & A \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "exp"] & Z \arrow[l, "\lambda"'] \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\
Y & & B \arrow[ll, "q"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

in ${}_G set$, then

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T(X) \arrow[d, "T_\bullet(f)"'] & T(A) \arrow[l, "T_+(p)"'] \arrow[r, "T^*(\lambda)"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & T(Z) \arrow[d, "T_\bullet(\rho)"] \\
T(Y) & & T(B) \arrow[ll, "T_+(q)"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

If $T = (T^*, T_+, T_\bullet)$ is a semi-Tambara functor, then $T(X)$ becomes a semi-ring for each $X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_G set)$, whose additive (resp. multiplicative) monoid structure is induced from that on $T^\alpha(X)$ (resp. $T^\mu(X)$). For each $f \in {}_G set(X,Y)$, those maps $T^*(f), T_+(f), T_\bullet(f)$ are often abbreviated to $f^*, f_+, f_\bullet$.

A *morphism* of semi-Tambara functors $\varphi\colon T\to S$ is a family of semi-ring homomorphisms

$$\varphi=\{\varphi_X\colon T(X)\to S(X)\}_{X\in\mathrm{Ob}({}_G\mathit{set})},$$

natural with respect to all of the contravariant and the covariant parts. We denote the category of semi-Tambara functors by $\mathit{STam}(G)$.

If $T(X)$ is a ring for each $X\in\mathrm{Ob}({}_G\mathit{set})$, then a semi-Tambara functor T is called a *Tambara functor*. The full subcategory of Tambara functors in $\mathit{STam}(G)$ is denoted by $\mathit{Tam}(G)$.

Remark 1.3. In [8], it was shown that the inclusion functor $\mathit{Tam}(G)\hookrightarrow\mathit{STam}(G)$ has a left adjoint $\gamma_G\colon\mathit{STam}(G)\to\mathit{Tam}(G)$.

Remark 1.4. Taking the multiplicative parts, we obtain functors

$$(-)^{\mu}\colon\mathit{STam}(G)\to\mathit{SMack}(G),\quad(-)^{\mu}\colon\mathit{Tam}(G)\to\mathit{SMack}(G).$$

In [5], it was shown that $(-)^{\mu}\colon\mathit{STam}(G)\to\mathit{SMack}(G)$ has a left adjoint

$$\mathcal{S}\colon\mathit{SMack}(G)\to\mathit{STam}(G).$$

Composing with γ_G, we obtain a functor called *Tambarization*

$$\Omega_G[\,-\,]=\gamma_G\circ\mathcal{S}\colon\mathit{SMack}(G)\to\mathit{Tam}(G),$$

which is left adjoint to $(-)^{\mu}\colon\mathit{Tam}(G)\to\mathit{SMack}(G)$.

2. BISET TRANSFORMATION

In this section, we consider transformation of a Tambara functor along a biset, and show how the functors in the previous section are related. Our first aim is to show the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G, H be finite groups, and let U be an H-G-biset. Then*

$$U\underset{G}{\circ}-\colon{}_G\mathit{set}\to{}_H\mathit{set}$$

preserves exponential diagrams.

First, we remark the following.

Remark 2.2. Assume we are given an exponential diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[d, "f"'] & A \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "exp"] & Z \arrow[l, "\lambda"'] \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\
Y & & \Pi_f(A) \arrow[ll, "\pi"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

in ${}_G\mathit{set}$. Since $U\underset{G}{\circ}-$ preserves pullbacks, we obtain a pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U\underset{G}{\circ}X \arrow[d, "U\underset{G}{\circ}f"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & U\underset{G}{\circ}Z \arrow[l, "(U\underset{G}{\circ}p)\circ(U\underset{G}{\circ}\lambda)"'] \arrow[d, "U\underset{G}{\circ}\rho"] \\
U\underset{G}{\circ}Y & U\underset{G}{\circ}\Pi_f(A) \arrow[l, "U\underset{G}{\circ}\pi"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

in ${}_{H}set$. If we take an exponential diagram associated to

$$U \underset{G}{\circ} X \xleftarrow{U \underset{G}{\circ} f} U \underset{G}{\circ} Y \xleftarrow{U \underset{G}{\circ} p} U \underset{G}{\circ} A$$

as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \underset{G}{\circ} X \arrow[d, "U \underset{G}{\circ} f"'] & U \underset{G}{\circ} A \arrow[l, "U \underset{G}{\circ} p"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "exp"] & Z' \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
U \underset{G}{\circ} Y & & \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A) \arrow[ll]
\end{tikzcd},$$

then by the adjointness between

$$- \underset{U \underset{G}{\circ} Y}{\times} (U \underset{G}{\circ} X) \ : \ {}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} Y} \to {}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} X}$$

and

$$\Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f} \ : \ {}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} X} \to {}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} Y},$$

we obtain a natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} Y}\,(\,U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A),\ \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A)\,) \\
&\qquad \cong \ {}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} X}\,(\,(U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A)) \underset{U \underset{G}{\circ} Y}{\times} (U \underset{G}{\circ} X),\ U \underset{G}{\circ} A\,) \\
&\qquad \cong \ {}_{H}set/_{U \underset{G}{\circ} X}\,(\,U \underset{G}{\circ} Z,\ U \underset{G}{\circ} A\,).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus there should exist a morphism

$$U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A) \to \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A)$$

corresponding to $U \underset{G}{\circ} \lambda \colon U \underset{G}{\circ} Z \to U \underset{G}{\circ} A$.

With this view, we construct an H-map

$$\Phi \colon U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A) \to \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A)$$

explicitly, and show it is bijective.

By definition, we have

$$U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A) = \left\{ [u, (y, \sigma)] \ \middle| \ \begin{array}{c} u \in U \\ (y, \sigma) \in \Pi_f(A) \end{array} \quad {}_{u}G \leq G_{(y,\sigma)} \right\},$$

$$\Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A) = \left\{ ([u, y], \tau) \ \middle| \ \begin{array}{c} [u, y] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} Y \\ \tau \ : \ (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u, y]) \to U \underset{G}{\circ} A \ \text{ is a map,} \\ \text{satisfying } \ (U \underset{G}{\circ} p) \circ \tau = incl. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Remark 2.3. For any $[u, y] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} Y$, the following holds.

(1) An element $[u_0, x_0] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} X$ belongs to $(U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u, y])$ if and only if there exists $g_0 \in G$ satisfying

$$u = u_0 g_0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 y = f(x_0). \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, $g_0^{-1} \cdot x_0 \in f^{-1}(y)$.

(2) Let $[u_0, x_0]$ be an element in $(U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u, y])$. If g_0 satisfies (2.1) and g'_0 similarly satisfies

$$u = u_0 g'_0 \quad \text{and} \quad g'_0 y = f(x_0),$$

then we have $g_0^{-1} \cdot x_0 = g_0'^{-1} \cdot x_0$.

Proof. (1) We have

$$\begin{aligned} [u_0, x_0] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u, y]) \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad [u_0, f(x_0)] = [u, y] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad {}^{\exists} g_0 \in G \text{ such that } u = u_0 g_0,\ g_0 y = f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Since $u_0 g_0 = u_0 g'_0$ implies $g'_0 g_0^{-1} \in {}_{u_0}G \le G_{x_0}$, it follows $g'_0 g_0^{-1} \cdot x_0 = x_0$. □

Lemma 2.4. *For any* $[u, (y, \sigma)] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A)$, *define* $\Phi([u, (y, \sigma)])$ *by*

$$\Phi([u, (y, \sigma)]) = ([u, y], \tau_{\sigma,u}),$$

where $\tau_{\sigma,u} \colon (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u, y]) \to U \underset{G}{\circ} A$ *is a map defined by*

$$\tau_{\sigma,u}([u_0, x_0]) = [u, \sigma(g_0^{-1} x_0)] \quad ({}^{\forall}[u_0, x_0] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u, y])\,),$$

where $g_0 \in G$ *is an element satisfying (2.1). (It can be easily confirmed that* $[u, \sigma(g_0^{-1} x_0)]$ *belongs to* $U \underset{G}{\circ} A$, *by using (2.1))*

Then $\Phi \colon U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A) \to \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A)$ *becomes a well-defined* H*-map.*

Proof. By Remark 2.3, this $\sigma(g_0^{-1} x_0)$ is independent of the choice of g_0.

It suffices to show the following.

(1) $\tau_{\sigma,u}$ is well-defined for each $[u, (y, \sigma)] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A)$.
(2) Φ is well-defined.
(3) Φ is an H-map.

(1) Suppose $[u'_0, x'_0] = [u_0, x_0]$ and take $g_0, g'_0 \in G$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u = u_0 g_0, &\quad g_0 y = f(x_0), \\ u = u'_0 g'_0, &\quad g'_0 y = f(x'_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[u'_0, x'_0] = [u_0, x_0]$, there exists some $g \in G$ satisfying

$$u'_0 = u_0 g, \quad x'_0 = g^{-1} x_0.$$

Then we obtain

$$[u, \sigma(g_0'^{-1} x'_0)] = [u, \sigma(g_0'^{-1} g^{-1} x_0)].$$

Since $u_0 g_0 = u = u'_0 g'_0 = u_0 g g'_0$, we have

$$g_0 g_0'^{-1} g^{-1} \in {}_{u_0}G \le G_{x_0},$$

which means $g'_0 g^{-1} x_0 = g_0^{-1} x_0$, and thus

$$[u, \sigma(g_0'^{-1} x'_0)] = [u, \sigma(g_0^{-1} x_0)].$$

(2) Suppose $[u, (y, \sigma)] = [u', (y', \sigma')]$. There exists $g \in G$ satisfying

$$u' = ug \quad \text{and} \quad (y', \sigma') = g^{-1} \cdot (y, \sigma),$$

namely $y' = g^{-1}y,\ \sigma' = {}^{g^{-1}}\sigma$. In particular we have $[u,y]=[u',y']$, and thus

$$(U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u,y]) = (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u',y']).$$

For any $[u_0,x_0] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u,y])$, take g_0 and g_0' satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_0g_0, \quad g_0y = f(x_0), \\ u' &= u_0g_0', \quad g_0'y' = f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_0g_0g = ug = u' = u_0g_0'$ implies $gg_0'^{-1}x_0 = g_0^{-1}x_0$ as in the above argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\sigma',u'}([u_0,x_0]) &= [u', \sigma'(g_0'^{-1}x_0)] \ = \ [ug, g^{-1}\sigma(gg_0'^{-1}x_0)] \\ &= [ug, g^{-1}\sigma(g_0^{-1}x_0)] \ = \ [u, \sigma(g_0^{-1}x_0)] \ = \ \tau_{\sigma,u}([u_0,x_0]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $([u,y],\tau_{\sigma,u}) = ([u',y'],\tau_{\sigma',u'})$, and Φ is well-defined.

(3) Let $[u,(y,\sigma)]$ be any element. For any $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(h[u,(y,\sigma)]) &= \Phi([hu,(y,\sigma)]) \\ &= ([hu,y],\tau_{\sigma,hu}) \ = \ (h[u,y],\tau_{\sigma,hu}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show $\tau_{\sigma,hu} = {}^{h}\tau_{\sigma,u}$.

Let $[u_\dagger,x_\dagger] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([hu,y])$ be any element. Take $g_\dagger \in G$ satisfying

$$hu = u_\dagger g_\dagger, \quad g_\dagger y = f(x_\dagger). \tag{2.2}$$

By the definition of $\tau_{\sigma,hu}$, we have

$$\tau_{\sigma,hu}([u_\dagger,x_\dagger]) = [hu, \sigma(g_\dagger^{-1}x_\dagger)] = h[u,\sigma(g_\dagger^{-1}x_\dagger)] \tag{2.3}$$

for any $[u_\dagger,x_\dagger] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([hu,y])$.

We have the following.

Remark 2.5.

(1) When $[u_\dagger,x_\dagger]$ runs through the elements in $(U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([hu,y])$, then

$$h^{-1}[u_\dagger,x_\dagger] = [h^{-1}u_\dagger, x_\dagger]$$

runs through the elements in $(U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u,y])$.

(2) If $g_\dagger \in G$ satisfies (2.2), then we have

$$u = h^{-1}u_\dagger g_\dagger, \quad g_\dagger y = f(x_\dagger).$$

Thus by the definition of $\tau_{\sigma,u}$, we have

$$\tau_{\sigma,u}([h^{-1}u_\dagger,x_\dagger]) = [u,\sigma(g_\dagger^{-1}x_\dagger)].$$

By (2.3) and Remark 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{h}\tau_{\sigma,u}[u_\dagger,x_\dagger] &= h\tau_{\sigma,u}([h^{-1}u_\dagger,x_\dagger]) \\ &= h[u,\sigma(g_\dagger^{-1}x_\dagger)] \ = \ \tau_{\sigma,hu}([u_\dagger,x_\dagger)] \end{aligned}$$

for any $[u_\dagger,x_\dagger] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([hu,y])$. Namely, $\tau_{\sigma,hu} = {}^{h}\tau_{\sigma,u}$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By Lemma 2.4, we obtain a well-defined H-map

$$\Phi\colon U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A) \to \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A).$$

It suffices to construct the inverse Ψ of Φ. For any $([u,y],\tau) \in \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A)$, define $\Psi([u,y],\tau)$ by

$$\Psi([u,y],\tau) = [u,(y,\sigma_{\tau,u})],$$

where $\sigma_{\tau,u}\colon f^{-1}(y) \to A$ is a map satisfying

$$[u,\sigma_{\tau,u}(x^{\dagger})] = \tau([u,x^{\dagger}]) \quad ({}^{\forall}x^{\dagger} \in f^{-1}(y)). \tag{2.4}$$

Here, we have the following.

Remark 2.6. If $[u,a],[u',a'] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} A$ satisfies

$$[u,a] = [u',a'] \quad \text{and} \quad u = u',$$

then we have $a = a'$.

Thus $\sigma_{\tau,u}(x^{\dagger})$ is well-defined by (2.4) for each $x^{\dagger}$. To show Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show the following.

1. $\Psi\colon \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A) \to U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A)$ is a well-defined map.
2. $\Psi \circ \Phi = \mathrm{id}$.
3. $\Phi \circ \Psi = \mathrm{id}$.

(1) Suppose $([u,y],\tau) = ([u',y'],\tau')$. Then obviously we have $\tau' = \tau$. There exists some $g \in G$ satisfying

$$u = u'g, \quad gy = y',$$

In particular we have $f^{-1}(y') = g \cdot f^{-1}(y)$. By definition of $\sigma_{\tau,u}$ and $\sigma_{\tau,u'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u,\sigma_{\tau,u}(x^{\dagger})] &= \tau([u,x^{\dagger}]), \\ [u',\sigma_{\tau,u'}(gx^{\dagger})] &= \tau([u',gx^{\dagger}]) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x^{\dagger} \in f^{-1}(y)$.

Thus it follows

$$\begin{aligned} [u,\sigma_{\tau,u}(x^{\dagger})] &= \tau([u,x^{\dagger}]) \;=\; \tau([u'g,x^{\dagger}]) \\ &= \tau([u',gx^{\dagger}]) \;=\; [u',\sigma_{\tau,u'}(gx^{\dagger})]) \\ &= [ug^{-1},\sigma_{\tau,u'}(gx^{\dagger})] \;=\; [u,\, {}^{g^{-1}}\sigma_{\tau,u'}(x^{\dagger})]. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.6, this means $\sigma_{\tau,u} = {}^{g^{-1}}\sigma_{\tau,u'}$. Thus it follows

$$\begin{aligned} [u,(y,\sigma_{\tau,u})] &= [u'g,(g^{-1}y',\, {}^{g^{-1}}\sigma_{\tau,u'})] \\ &= [u'g,g^{-1}(y',\sigma_{\tau,u'})] \;=\; [u',(y',\sigma_{\tau,u'})], \end{aligned}$$

and thus Ψ is well-defined.

(2) Let $[u,(y,\sigma)] \in U \underset{G}{\circ} \Pi_f(A)$ be any element. We have

$$\Psi \circ \Phi([u,(y,\sigma)]) = \Psi([u,y],\tau_{\sigma,u}) = [u,(y,\sigma_{\tau_{\sigma,u},u})],$$

where $\tau_{\sigma,u}$ and $\sigma_{\tau_{\sigma,u},u}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_{\sigma,u}([u_0,x_0]) = [u,\sigma(g_0^{-1}x_0)] \quad ({}^{\forall}[u_0,x_0] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u,y])), \\ &[u,\sigma_{\tau_{\sigma,u},u}(x^{\dagger})] = \tau_{\sigma,u}([u,x^{\dagger}]) \qquad\qquad ({}^{\forall}x^{\dagger} \in f^{-1}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

using $g_0 \in G$ satisfying $u = u_0 g_0$ and $g_0 y = f(x_0)$. In particular we have

$$\tau_{\sigma,u}([u, x^\dagger]) = [u, \sigma(x^\dagger)] \quad ({}^\forall x^\dagger \in f^{-1}(y)),$$

and thus

$$[u, \sigma_{\tau_{\sigma,u},u}(x^\dagger)] = \tau_{\sigma,u}([u, x^\dagger]) = [u, \sigma(x^\dagger)]$$

for any $x^\dagger \in f^{-1}(y)$. By Remark 2.6, it follows $\sigma_{\tau_{\sigma,u},u} = \sigma$, and thus $\Psi \circ \Phi([u,(y,\sigma)]) = [u,(y,\sigma)]$.

(3) Let $([u,y],\tau) \in \Pi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} f}(U \underset{G}{\circ} A)$ be any element. We have

$$\Phi \circ \Psi([u,y],\tau) = \Phi([u,(y,\sigma_{\tau,u})]) = ([u,y],\tau_{\sigma_{\tau,u},u}),$$

where $\sigma_{\tau,u}$ and $\tau_{\sigma_{\tau,u},u}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [u, \sigma_{\tau,u}(x^\dagger)] &= \tau([u,x^\dagger]) && ({}^\forall x^\dagger \in f^{-1}(y)),\\ \tau_{\sigma_{\tau,u},u}([u_0,x_0]) &= [u, \sigma_{\tau,u}(g_0^{-1}x_0)] && ({}^\forall [u_0,x_0] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u,y])), \end{aligned}$$

using $g_0 \in G$ satisfying $u = u_0 g_0$ and $g_0 y = f(x_0)$. It follows

$$\tau_{\sigma_{\tau,u},u}([u_0,x_0]) = \tau([u, g_0^{-1}x_0]) = \tau([u_0,x_0])$$

for any $[u_0,x_0] \in (U \underset{G}{\circ} f)^{-1}([u,y])$, and thus $\Phi \circ \Psi([u,y],\tau) = ([u,y],\tau)$. □

Proposition 2.1 allows us to transform Tambara functors along a biset.

Corollary 2.7. *Let U be an H-G-biset. For any $T \in \mathrm{Ob}(Tam(H))$, if we define $T\circ U$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} T\circ U(X) &= T(U \underset{G}{\circ} X) && ({}^\forall X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_G set)),\\ (T\circ U)^*(f) &= T^*(U \underset{G}{\circ} f) && \\ (T\circ U)_+(f) &= T_+(U \underset{G}{\circ} f) && ({}^\forall f \in {}_G set(X,Y)),\\ (T\circ U)_\bullet(f) &= T_\bullet(U \underset{G}{\circ} f) && \end{aligned}$$

then $T\circ U$ becomes an object in $Tam(G)$.

If $\varphi\colon T \to S$ is a morphism in $Tam(H)$, then

$$\varphi\circ U = \{\varphi_{U \underset{G}{\circ} X}\}_{X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_G set)}$$

forms a morphism $\varphi\circ U\colon T\circ U \to S\circ U$ in $Tam(G)$.

This correspondence gives a functor $-\circ U\colon Tam(H) \to Tam(G)$. In the same way, we obtain a functor $-\circ U\colon STam(H) \to STam(G)$.

Remark 2.8. Since $U \underset{G}{\circ} -\colon {}_G set \to {}_H set$ preserves finite direct sums and pullbacks, this induces a functor

$$-\circ U\colon SMack(H) \to SMack(G),$$

defined in the same way. (For the case of Mackey functors, see [3].)

Clearly by the construction, these functors are compatible. Namely, we have the following commutative diagrams of functors.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Tam(H) \arrow[r, "-\circ U"] \arrow[d, hook] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & Tam(G) \arrow[d, hook] \\
STam(H) \arrow[r, "-\circ U"] \arrow[d, "(-)^{\mu}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & STam(G) \arrow[d, "(-)^{\mu}"] \\
SMack(H) \arrow[r, "-\circ U"'] & SMack(G)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
Tam(H) \arrow[r, "-\circ U"] \arrow[d, "(-)^{\alpha}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & Tam(G) \arrow[d, "(-)^{\alpha}"] \\
Mack(H) \arrow[r, "-\circ U"'] & Mack(G)
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{2.5}$$

Corollary 2.9. *In* [6]*, an ideal* $\mathscr{I}$ *of a Tambara functor* T *on* H *is defined to be a family of ideals*

$$\{\,\mathscr{I}(X)\subseteq T(X)\}_{X\in\mathrm{Ob}({}_{H}set)}\,,$$

which satisfies the following for any $f\in {}_{H}set(X,Y)$*.*

(i) $f^{*}(\mathscr{I}(Y))\subseteq\mathscr{I}(X)$,
(ii) $f_{+}(\mathscr{I}(X))\subseteq\mathscr{I}(Y)$,
(iii) $f_{\bullet}(\mathscr{I}(X))\subseteq f_{\bullet}(0)+\mathscr{I}(Y)$.

If $\mathscr{I}\subseteq T$ *is an ideal, then the objectwise ideal quotient*

$$T/\mathscr{I}=\{T(X)/\mathscr{I}(X)\}_{X\in\mathrm{Ob}({}_{H}set)}$$

carries a natural Tambara functor structure on H *induced from that on* T*.*

Concerning Corollary 2.7, suppose we are given an H*-*G*-biset* U*. If we define* $\mathscr{I}\circ U$ *by*

$$\mathscr{I}\circ U(X)=\mathscr{I}(U\underset{G}{\circ}X)$$

for each $X\in\mathrm{Ob}({}_{G}set)$*, then* $\mathscr{I}\circ U\subseteq T\circ U$ *becomes again an ideal, and we obtain a natural isomorphism of Tambara functors on* G

$$(T/\mathscr{I})\circ U\cong(T\circ U)/(\mathscr{I}\circ U).$$

Corollary 2.10. *Let* T *be a Tambara functor on* H*. In* [7]*, it was shown that for any semi-Mackey subfunctor* $\mathscr{S}\subseteq T^{\mu}$*, the objectwise fraction of rings*

$$\mathscr{S}^{-1}T=\{\mathscr{S}(X)^{-1}T(X)\}_{X\in\mathrm{Ob}({}_{H}set)}$$

carries a natural Tambara functor structure on H *induced from that on* T*.*

Concerning Corollary 2.7, suppose we are given an H*-*G*-biset* U*. Then* $\mathscr{S}\circ U\subseteq(T\circ U)^{\mu}=T^{\mu}\circ U$ *becomes again a semi-Mackey subfunctor, and we obtain a natural isomorphism of Tambara functors on* G

$$(\mathscr{S}^{-1}T)\circ U\cong(\mathscr{S}\circ U)^{-1}(T\circ U).$$

3. Adjoint construction

In the rest, we construct a left adjoint of $-\circ U\colon Tam(H)\to Tam(G)$. We use the following theorem shown in [8].

Fact 3.1. Let G be a finite group. There exists a category $\mathscr{U}_{G}$ with finite products satisfying the following properties.

(1) $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_{G})=\mathrm{Ob}({}_{G}set)$.
(2) There is a categorical equivalence $\mu_{G}\colon Add(\mathscr{U}_{G},Set)\xrightarrow{\simeq}STam(G)$.

We recall the structure of $\mathscr{U}$ briefly. Details can be found in [8].

The set of morphisms $\mathscr{U}_G(X,Y)$ is defined as follows, for each $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_G) = \mathrm{Ob}({}_Gset)$.

$$\mathscr{U}_G(X,Y) = \left\{ (X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} A \overset{v}{\rightarrow} B \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_Gset),\ u \in {}_Gset(B,Y) \\ v \in {}_Gset(A,B),\ w \in {}_Gset(A,X) \end{array} \right\} \Big/ \underset{\text{equiv.}}{\sim},$$

where $(X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} A \overset{v}{\rightarrow} B \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y)$ and $(X \overset{w'}{\leftarrow} A' \overset{v'}{\rightarrow} B' \overset{u'}{\rightarrow} Y)$ are equivalent if and only if there exists a pair of isomorphisms $a\colon A \to A'$ and $b\colon B \to B'$ such that $u = u' \circ b$, $b \circ v = v' \circ a$, $w = w' \circ a$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & A \arrow[rr, "v"] \arrow[dll, "w"'] \arrow[dd, "a"] & & B \arrow[drr, "u"] \arrow[dd, "b"'] & & \\
X & \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft & Y \\
& & A' \arrow[rr, "v'"'] \arrow[ull, "w'"] & & B' \arrow[urr, "u'"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $[X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} A \overset{v}{\rightarrow} B \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y]$ denote the equivalence class of $(X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} A \overset{v}{\rightarrow} B \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y)$. Composition law in $\mathscr{U}_G$ is defined by $[Y \leftarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow Z] \circ [X \leftarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y] = [X \leftarrow A'' \rightarrow \widetilde{D} \rightarrow Y]$, with the morphisms appearing in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & A'' \arrow[ddl] \arrow[dr] & & & \\
& & & \square & \widetilde{C} \arrow[ddl] \arrow[dr] & & \\
& & A' \arrow[ddl] \arrow[dr] & & exp & \widetilde{D} \arrow[ddd] & \\
& & \square & B' \arrow[ddl] \arrow[dr] & & & \\
& A \arrow[ddl] \arrow[dr] & & \square & C \arrow[ddl] \arrow[dr] & & \\
& & B \arrow[dr] & & & D \arrow[dr] & \\
X & & & Y & & & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_G)$, we use the notation

- $T_u = [X \overset{\mathrm{id}}{\leftarrow} X \overset{\mathrm{id}}{\rightarrow} X \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y]$ for any $u \in {}_Gset(X,Y)$,
- $N_v = [X \overset{\mathrm{id}}{\leftarrow} X \overset{v}{\rightarrow} Y \overset{\mathrm{id}}{\rightarrow} Y]$ for any $v \in {}_Gset(X,Y)$,
- $R_w = [X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} Y \overset{\mathrm{id}}{\rightarrow} Y \overset{\mathrm{id}}{\rightarrow} Y]$ for any $w \in {}_Gset(Y,X)$.

Remark 3.2. For any pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_G)$, if we let $X \amalg Y$ be their disjoint union in ${}_Gset$ and let $\iota_X \in {}_Gset(X, X \amalg Y), \iota_Y \in {}_Gset(Y, X \amalg Y)$ be the inclusions, then

$$X \xleftarrow{R_{\iota_X}} X \amalg Y \xrightarrow{R_{\iota_Y}} Y$$

gives the product of X and Y in $\mathscr{U}_G$.

Remark 3.3. For any $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Ob}(Add(\mathscr{U}_G, Set))$, the corresponding semi-Tambara functor $T = \mu_G(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(STam(G))$ is given by

- $T(X) = \mathcal{T}(X)$ for any $X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_Gset)$.
- $T^*(f) = \mathcal{T}(R_f),\ T_\bullet(f) = \mathcal{T}(N_f),\ T_+(f) = \mathcal{T}(T_f)$, for any morphism f in ${}_Gset$.

As a corollary of Proposition 2.1, the following holds.

Corollary 3.4. *Let U be an H-G-set. Then $U \underset{G}{\circ} -\colon {}_G set \to {}_H set$ induces a functor*

$$F_U\colon \mathscr{U}_G \to \mathscr{U}_H$$

preserving finite products, given by

$$F_U(X) = U \underset{G}{\circ} X$$

for any $X \in \mathrm{Ob}({}_G set)$ and

$$F_U([X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} A \overset{v}{\rightarrow} B \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y]) = [U \underset{G}{\circ} X \xleftarrow{U \underset{G}{\circ} w} U \underset{G}{\circ} A \xrightarrow{U \underset{G}{\circ} v} U \underset{G}{\circ} B \xrightarrow{U \underset{G}{\circ} u} U \underset{G}{\circ} Y]$$

for any morphism $[X \overset{w}{\leftarrow} A \overset{v}{\rightarrow} B \overset{u}{\rightarrow} Y] \in \mathscr{U}_G(X, Y)$.

Proof. Since $U \underset{G}{\circ} -\colon {}_G set \to {}_H set$ preserves finite coproducts, pullbacks and exponential diagrams, it immediately follows that F_U preserves the compositions, and thus in fact becomes a functor. Moreover by Remark 3.2, F_U preserves finite products. ☐

Remark 3.5. The biset transformation obtained in Corollary 2.7 is compatible with the composition by F_U :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Add(\mathscr{U}_H, Set) \arrow[r, "-\circ F_U"] \arrow[d, "\mu_H"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & Add(\mathscr{U}_G, Set) \arrow[d, "\mu_G"] \\
STam(H) \arrow[r, "-\circ U"'] & STam(G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let U be an H-G-biset. Then the functor $-\circ U\colon STam(H) \to STam(G)$ admits a left adjoint, which we denote by $\mathfrak{L}_U$.*

More generally, we have the following.

Proposition 3.7. *Let G, H be arbitrary finite groups, and let $F\colon \mathscr{U}_G \to \mathscr{U}_H$ be a functor preserving finite products. Then the induced functor*

$$-\circ F\colon Add(\mathscr{U}_H, Set) \to Add(\mathscr{U}_G, Set)$$

admits a left adjoint L_F.

In the rest, we show Proposition 3.7. The proof basically depends on the proof of Theorem 3.7.7 in [1].

Definition 3.8. Let G, H, F be as in Proposition 3.7. For any $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$, define a category $\mathscr{C}_X$ and a functor $\mathcal{A}_X\colon \mathscr{C}_X \to \mathscr{U}_G$ as follows.

- An object $\mathfrak{k} = (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \kappa)$ in $\mathscr{C}_X$ is a triplet of

  $$k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, \quad K_i \leq G \ (1 \leq {}^{\forall} i \leq k), \quad \kappa \in \mathscr{U}_H(F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}), X),$$

  where $A_{\mathfrak{k}} = \underset{1 \leq i \leq k}{\amalg} G/K_i \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_G)$.
- A morphism in $\mathscr{C}_X$ from $\mathfrak{k}$ to $\mathfrak{k}' = (k', (K'_1, K'_2, \ldots, K'_{k'}), \kappa')$ is a morphism $a \in \mathscr{U}_G(A_{\mathfrak{k}}, A_{\mathfrak{k}'})$ satisfying $\kappa = \kappa' \circ F(a)$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \arrow[rr, "F(a)"] \arrow[dr, "\kappa"'] & \arrow[d, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & F(A_{\mathfrak{k}'}) \arrow[dl, "\kappa'"] \\
& X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

- For any $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X)$, define $\mathcal{A}_X(\mathfrak{k}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_G)$ by $\mathcal{A}_X(\mathfrak{k}) = A_{\mathfrak{k}}$.
- For any morphism $a \in \mathscr{C}_X(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}')$, define $\mathcal{A}_X(a) \in \mathscr{U}_G(\mathcal{A}_X(\mathfrak{k}), \mathcal{A}_X(\mathfrak{k}'))$ by $\mathcal{A}_X(a) = a\colon A_{\mathfrak{k}} \to A_{\mathfrak{k}'}$.

Definition 3.9. Let G, H, F be as in Proposition 3.7, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be any object in $\mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})$. Using the functor $\mathcal{A}_X\colon \mathscr{C}_X \to \mathscr{U}_G$ in Definition 3.8, we define $(L_F\mathcal{T})(X) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Set})$ by

$$(L_F\mathcal{T})(X) = \mathrm{colim}\,(\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{A}_X)$$

for each $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$.

For any morphism $\upsilon \in \mathscr{U}_H(X, Y)$, composition by υ induces a functor

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \upsilon_\sharp \ : \ \mathscr{C}_X & \to & \mathscr{C}_Y, \\ (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \kappa) & \mapsto & (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \upsilon \circ \kappa) \end{array}$$

compatibly with $\mathcal{A}_X$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C}_X \arrow[rr, "\upsilon_\sharp"] \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{A}_X"'] & \circlearrowright & \mathscr{C}_Y \arrow[dl, "\mathcal{A}_Y"] \\
& \mathscr{U}_G &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This yields a natural map

$$(L_F\mathcal{T})(\upsilon)\colon \mathrm{colim}\,(\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{A}_X) \to \mathrm{colim}\,(\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{A}_Y),$$

and $L_F\mathcal{T}$ becomes a functor $L_F\mathcal{T}\colon \mathscr{U}_G \to \mathit{Set}$.

Moreover, if $\varphi\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a morphism between $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} \in \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})$, this induces a natural transformation

$$\varphi \circ \mathcal{A}_X\colon \mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{A}_X \Longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{A}_X$$

and thus a map of sets

$$(L_F\mathcal{T})(X) \to (L_F\mathcal{S})(X)$$

for each X. These form a natural transformation from $L_F\mathcal{T}$ to $L_F\mathcal{S}$, which we denote by $L_F\varphi$:

$$L_F\varphi\colon L_F\mathcal{T} \Longrightarrow L_F\mathcal{S}.$$

This gives a functor $L_F\colon \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set}) \to \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set})$.

Similarly as in Theorem 3.7.7 in [1], we have the following.

Remark 3.10. L_F is left adjoint to $-\circ F\colon \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}) \to \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})$.

Proof. For any $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$, we abbreviate $\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{A}_X$ to $\mathcal{T}_X$. We denote the colimitting cone for $\mathcal{T}_X$ by

$$\delta_X\colon \mathcal{T}_X \Longrightarrow \Delta_{L_F\mathcal{T}(X)},$$

where $\Delta_{L_F\mathcal{T}(X)}\colon \mathscr{C}_X \to \mathit{Set}$ is the constant functor valued in $L_F\mathcal{T}(X)$ ([4]).

We briefly state the construction of the bijection

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} \circ F) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set})(L_F\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}) \\
\rotatebox[origin=c]{90}{$\in$} & \rotatebox[origin=c]{90}{$\in$} \\
\theta \arrow[r, leftrightarrow] & \omega
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$({}^{\forall}\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})), {}^{\forall}\mathcal{S} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}))).$$

Suppose we are given $\omega \in \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set})(L_F\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S})$. For any $A \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_G)$, take a decomposition into transitive G-sets

$$\rho\colon \coprod_{1\le i\le k} G/K_i \xrightarrow{\cong} A, \tag{3.1}$$

and put $\mathfrak{k} = (k, (K_1, \ldots, K_k), F(\rho))$. Then $\mathfrak{k}$ is a terminal object in $\mathscr{C}_{F(A)}$, and the composition

$$\theta_{\omega,A} = (\mathcal{T}(A) \overset{\mathcal{T}(\rho^{-1})}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{T}(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) = \mathcal{T}_{F(A)}(\mathfrak{k}) \underset{\cong}{\overset{\delta_{F(A),\mathfrak{k}}}{\longrightarrow}} L_F\mathcal{T}(F(A)) \overset{\omega_{F(A)}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{S} \circ F(A))$$

does not depend on the choice of the decomposition (3.1) for each A. These form a natural transformation $\theta_\omega\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{S} \circ F$.

Conversely, suppose we are given $\theta \in \mathit{Fun}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} \circ F)$. For any $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$ and any morphism $a \in \mathscr{C}_X(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}')$ between

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k} &= (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \kappa) \\ \mathfrak{k}' &= (k', (K'_1, K'_2, \ldots, K'_{k'}), \kappa'), \end{aligned}$$

we have a commutative diagram in Set

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{T}_X(\mathfrak{k}) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[dd, "\mathcal{T}_X(a)"'] & \mathcal{T}(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \arrow[r, "\theta_{A_{\mathfrak{k}}}"] \arrow[dd, "\mathcal{T}(a)"] & \mathcal{S} \circ F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{S}(\kappa)"] \arrow[dd, "\mathcal{S}\circ F(a)"] & \\
\circlearrowright & \circlearrowright & \circlearrowright & \mathcal{S}(X). \\
\mathcal{T}_X(\mathfrak{k}') \arrow[r, equal] & \mathcal{T}(A_{\mathfrak{k}'}) \arrow[r, "\theta_{A_{\mathfrak{k}'}}"'] & \mathcal{S} \circ F(A_{\mathfrak{k}'}) \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{S}(\kappa')"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This gives a cone $\mathcal{T}_X \Longrightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{S}(X)}$, and thus there induced a map $\omega_{\theta,X}\colon L_F\mathcal{T}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(X)$ for each $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$. These form a natural transformation $\omega_\theta\colon L_F\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

If we can show that $L_F\mathcal{T}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}))$ whenever $\mathcal{T}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set}))$, then we will obtain a functor

$$L_F\colon \mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set}) \to \mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}),$$

which is left adjoint to $- \circ F\colon \mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}) \to \mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set})$. Thus Proposition 3.7 is reduced to the following.

Claim 3.11. *$L_F\mathcal{T}$ belongs to* $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}))$, *for any* $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_G, \mathit{Set}))$.

For any pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$, define $\mathcal{A}_X * \mathcal{A}_Y$ to be the composition of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y & \overset{\mathcal{A}_X \times \mathcal{A}_Y}{\longrightarrow} & \mathscr{U}_G \times \mathscr{U}_G & \overset{\amalg}{\longrightarrow} & \mathscr{U}_G. \\ & & (A, B) & \mapsto & A \amalg B \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{T}$ is additive, $\mathcal{T} \circ (\mathcal{A}_X * \mathcal{A}_Y)$ becomes naturally isomorphic to

$$\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y \overset{\mathcal{T}_X \times \mathcal{T}_Y}{\longrightarrow} \mathit{Set} \times \mathit{Set} \overset{\times}{\longrightarrow} \mathit{Set}.$$

We abbreviate $\mathcal{T} \circ (\mathcal{A}_X * \mathcal{A}_Y)$ to $\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y$, and denote the colimitting cone for $\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y$ by

$$\delta\colon \mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y \to \Delta_Z,$$

where $Z = \mathrm{colim}\,(\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y)$.

Fact 3.12 (Lemma 3.7.6 in [1])**.** Let $\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_X} \mathscr{C}_X$ be the projection, and let $\wp_X \colon \mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \circ \mathrm{pr}_X$ be the natural transformation induced from the projection.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{pr}_X"] \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y"'] & \overset{\wp_X}{\Longrightarrow} & \mathscr{C}_X \arrow[dl, "\mathcal{T}_X"] \\
& Set &
\end{tikzcd}$$

There uniquely exists a map of sets

$$\pi_X \colon Z \to L_F\mathcal{T}(X)$$

which makes the following diagram of natural transformations commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\wp_X"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\delta"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & \mathcal{T}_X \circ \mathrm{pr}_X \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\delta_X \circ \mathrm{pr}_X"] \\
\Delta_Z \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\pi_X"'] & (\Delta_{L_F\mathcal{T}(X)}) \circ \mathrm{pr}_X
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, we have a canonical map $\pi_Y \colon Z \to L_F\mathcal{T}(Y)$. Then

$$(\pi_X, \pi_Y) \colon Z \to L_F\mathcal{T}(X) \times L_F\mathcal{T}(Y)$$

becomes an isomorphism.

Definition 3.13. Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$ be any pair of objects. For any

$$\mathfrak{s} = (s, (S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_s), \sigma) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_{X \amalg Y}),$$

define $\mathfrak{s}_X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X)$ and $\mathfrak{s}_Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_Y)$ by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathfrak{s}_X = (R_{\iota_X})_\sharp(\mathfrak{s}) & \in & \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X), \\ \mathfrak{s}_Y = (R_{\iota_Y})_\sharp(\mathfrak{s}) & \in & \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_Y), \end{array}$$

where $\iota_X \colon X \hookrightarrow X \amalg Y, \iota_Y \colon Y \hookrightarrow X \amalg Y$ are the inclusions in ${}_H set$.

Definition 3.14. Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$ be arbitrary objects. For any

$$\mathfrak{k} = (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \kappa) \ \in \ \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{l} = (\ell, (L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_\ell), \lambda) \ \in \ \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_Y),$$

define $\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_{X \amalg Y})$ by

$$\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l} = (k + \ell, (K_1, \ldots, K_k, L_1, \ldots, L_\ell), \kappa \amalg \lambda),$$

where $\kappa \amalg \lambda$ is the abbreviation of

$$F(A_{\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l}}) \cong F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \amalg F(A_{\mathfrak{l}}) \xrightarrow{\kappa \amalg \lambda} X \amalg Y.$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y)$ be any object. If we denote the inclusions in ${}_G set$ by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \iota_{\mathfrak{k}} & : & A_{\mathfrak{k}} \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}} = A_{\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l}}, \\ \iota_{\mathfrak{l}} & : & A_{\mathfrak{l}} \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}} = A_{\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l}}, \end{array}$$

then we obtain morphisms $R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}} \in \mathscr{C}_X((\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l})_X, \mathfrak{k})$ and $R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}} \in \mathscr{C}_Y((\mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l})_Y, \mathfrak{l})$.

Proof. Since we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(A_{\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}}) \arrow[d, "F(R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}})"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & \cong F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \amalg F(A_{\mathfrak{l}}) \arrow[r, "\kappa\amalg\lambda"] \arrow[dl, "R_{\iota_{F(A_{\mathfrak{k}})}}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & X \amalg Y \arrow[d, "R_{\iota_X}"] \\
F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \arrow[rr, "\kappa"'] & & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

$(\iota_{F(A_{\mathfrak{k}})}\colon F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \hookrightarrow F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \amalg F(A_{\mathfrak{l}})$ is the inclusion in ${}_H set)$,

the morphism in $\mathscr{U}_G$

$$R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}}\colon A_{(\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_X} = A_{\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}} \to A_{\mathfrak{k}}$$

gives a morphism $R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}} \in \mathscr{C}_X((\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_X, \mathfrak{k})$. Similarly for $R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}}$. □

As a corollary of Lemma 3.15, we obtain commutative diagrams in *Set*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{T}_X((\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_X) \arrow[rr, "\mathcal{T}_X(R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}})"] \arrow[dr, "\delta_{X,(\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_X}"'] & \circlearrowleft & \mathcal{T}_X(\mathfrak{k}) \arrow[dl, "\delta_{X,\mathfrak{k}}"] & , & \mathcal{T}_Y((\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_Y) \arrow[rr, "\mathcal{T}_Y(R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}})"] \arrow[dr, "\delta_{Y,(\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_Y}"'] & \circlearrowleft & \mathcal{T}_Y(\mathfrak{l}) \arrow[dl, "\delta_{Y,\mathfrak{l}}"] & . \\
& L_F\mathcal{T}(X) & & & & L_F\mathcal{T}(Y) & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.3}$$

Claim 3.16. *Let $\tau\colon \mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y \to \mathscr{C}_{X\amalg Y}$ be the functor defined as follows.*

- *For any $\mathfrak{k} = (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \kappa) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X)$ and $\mathfrak{l} = (\ell, (L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_\ell), \lambda) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_Y)$, define $\tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l})$ by $\tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}) = \mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}$.*
- *For any $a \in \mathscr{C}_X(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}')$ and $b \in \mathscr{C}_Y(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}')$, define $\tau(a, b)$ by*

  $$\tau(a, b) = a \amalg b\colon \mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l} \to \mathfrak{k}'\amalg\mathfrak{l}',$$

  where $a \amalg b$ is

  $$A_{\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}} = A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}} \xrightarrow{a\amalg b} A_{\mathfrak{k}'} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}'} = A_{\mathfrak{k}'\amalg\mathfrak{l}'}.$$

Then τ is a final functor in the sense of [4]. *Namely, the comma category $(\mathfrak{s} \downarrow \tau)$ is non-empty and connected, for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_{X\amalg Y})$.*

If Claim 3.16 is shown, then Claim 3.11 follows. In fact if τ is final, then by [4], the unique map

$$h \in Set(Z, L_F\mathcal{T}(X \amalg Y))$$

which makes the following diagram commutative for any $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y)$, becomes an isomorphism.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y)(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}) \arrow[d, "\delta_{(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{l})}"'] & = \mathcal{T}_X(\mathfrak{k}) \times \mathcal{T}_Y(\mathfrak{l}) = \arrow[d, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & \mathcal{T}_{X\amalg Y}(\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}) \arrow[d, "\delta_{X\amalg Y,\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}}"] \\
Z \arrow[rr, "\cong", "h"'] & & L_F\mathcal{T}(X \amalg Y)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and the definition of $L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_X})$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{T}_X * \mathcal{T}_Y)(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{l}) \arrow[rrrr, bend left, "\wp_{X,(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{l})}"] \arrow[ddd, "\delta_{(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{l})}"'] \arrow[dr, equal] & & \circlearrowright & & \mathcal{T}_X(\mathfrak{k}) \arrow[dddl, bend left, "\delta_{X,\mathfrak{k}}"] \\
& \mathcal{T}_{X\amalg Y}(\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}) \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[dd, "\delta_{X\amalg Y,\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l}}"] & & \mathcal{T}_X((\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_X) \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{T}_X(R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}})"] \arrow[dd, "\delta_{X,(\mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})_X}"'] & \\
\circlearrowright & & \circlearrowright & & \circlearrowright \\
Z \arrow[r, "\cong", "h"'] & L_F\mathcal{T}(X\amalg Y) \arrow[rr, "L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_X})"'] & & L_F\mathcal{T}(X) \, , &
\end{tikzcd}$$

for any $(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{l}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y)$. Comparing with (3.2), we see that π_X satisfies $\pi_X = L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_X}) \circ h$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[rr, "h", "\cong"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_X"'] & \circlearrowright & L_F\mathcal{T}(X\amalg Y) \arrow[dl, "L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_X})"] \\
& L_F\mathcal{T}(X) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

For Y, similarly π_Y satisfies $L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_Y}) \circ h = \pi_Y$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[rr, "h", "\cong"'] \arrow[dr, "(\pi_X,\pi_Y)"'] & \circlearrowright & L_F\mathcal{T}(X\amalg Y) \arrow[dl, "(L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_X}){,}L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_Y}))"] \\
& L_F\mathcal{T}(X) \times L_F\mathcal{T}(Y). &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since h and (π_X, π_Y) are isomorphisms, it follows that

$$(L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_X}), L_F\mathcal{T}(R_{\iota_Y}))\colon L_F\mathcal{T}(X\amalg Y) \to L_F\mathcal{T}(X) \times L_F\mathcal{T}(Y)$$

is an isomorphism for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{U}_H)$. This means $L_F\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathit{Add}(\mathscr{U}_H, \mathit{Set}))$, and Claim 3.11 follows.

Thus it remains to show Claim 3.16.

Proof of Claim 3.16. Let $\mathfrak{s} = (s, (S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_s), \sigma) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_{X\amalg Y})$ be any object. Since the folding map $\nabla\colon A_{\mathfrak{s}_X} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{s}_Y} = A_{\mathfrak{s}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{s}} \to A_{\mathfrak{s}}$ makes the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(A_{\mathfrak{s}}) \arrow[rr, "F(R_\nabla)"] \arrow[dr, "\sigma"'] & \circlearrowright & F(A_{\mathfrak{s}_X} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{s}_Y}) \arrow[dl, "\sigma_X \amalg \sigma_Y"] \\
& X \amalg Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathscr{U}_H$ commutative, this gives a morphism $R_\nabla\colon \mathfrak{s} \to \mathfrak{s}_X \amalg \mathfrak{s}_Y$ in $\mathscr{C}_{X\amalg Y}$. Thus $(\mathfrak{s} \downarrow \tau)$ is non-empty.

Moreover, let $(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{l}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y)$ be any object, where

$$\mathfrak{k} = (k, (K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_k), \kappa), \quad \mathfrak{l} = (\ell, (L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_\ell), \lambda),$$

and let $a \in \mathscr{C}_{X\amalg Y}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{k}\amalg\mathfrak{l})$ be any morphism. Denote the inclusions by

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}\colon A_{\mathfrak{k}} \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}}, \quad \iota_{\mathfrak{l}}\colon A_{\mathfrak{l}} \hookrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}},$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathfrak{k}} &= R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}} \circ a \quad \in \mathscr{U}_G(A_{\mathfrak{s}}, A_{\mathfrak{k}}), \\ a_{\mathfrak{l}} &= R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{l}}} \circ a \quad \in \mathscr{U}_G(A_{\mathfrak{s}}, A_{\mathfrak{l}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(A_{\mathfrak{s}_X}) = F(A_{\mathfrak{s}}) \arrow[rr, "\sigma"] \arrow[dr, "F(a)"'] \arrow[dddr, bend right, "F(a_{\mathfrak{k}})"'] & \circlearrowright & X \amalg Y \arrow[dd, "R_{\iota_X}"] \\
\circlearrowright & F(A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}}) \arrow[ur, "\kappa \amalg \lambda"'] \arrow[dd, "F(R_{\iota_{\mathfrak{k}}})"] & \circlearrowright \\
& & X \\
& F(A_{\mathfrak{k}}) \arrow[ur, "\kappa"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathscr{U}_H$, we obtain a morphism $a_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathscr{C}_X(\mathfrak{s}_X, \mathfrak{k})$. Similarly we obtain $a_{\mathfrak{l}} \in \mathscr{C}_Y(\mathfrak{s}_Y, \mathfrak{l})$, and thus a morphism $(a_{\mathfrak{k}}, a_{\mathfrak{l}})\colon (\mathfrak{s}_X, \mathfrak{s}_Y) \to (\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l})$ in $\mathscr{C}_X \times \mathscr{C}_Y$.

Now there are three morphisms in $\mathscr{C}_{X \amalg Y}$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nabla} &: \quad \mathfrak{s} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}_X \amalg \mathfrak{s}_Y = \tau(\mathfrak{s}_X, \mathfrak{s}_Y), \\ a &: \quad \mathfrak{s} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k} \amalg \mathfrak{l} = \tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}), \\ a_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg a_{\mathfrak{l}} = \tau(a_{\mathfrak{k}}, a_{\mathfrak{l}}) &: \quad \tau(\mathfrak{s}_X, \mathfrak{s}_Y) \longrightarrow \tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}), \end{aligned}$$

and the commutativity of the diagram in $\mathscr{U}_G$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_{\mathfrak{s}} \arrow[r, "R_{\nabla}"] \arrow[dr, "a"'] & A_{\mathfrak{s}_X} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{s}_Y} \arrow[d, "a_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg a_{\mathfrak{l}}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] \\
& A_{\mathfrak{k}} \amalg A_{\mathfrak{l}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

implies the compatibility of these morphisms.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{s} \arrow[r, "R_{\nabla}"] \arrow[dr, "a"'] & \tau(\mathfrak{s}_X, \mathfrak{s}_Y) \arrow[d, "\tau(a_{\mathfrak{k}}, a_{\mathfrak{l}})"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] \\
& \tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus for any $(\mathfrak{s} \xrightarrow{a} \tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l})) \in \mathrm{Ob}((\mathfrak{s} \downarrow \tau))$, there exists a morphism from $(\mathfrak{s} \xrightarrow{R_{\nabla}} \tau(\mathfrak{s}_X, \mathfrak{s}_Y))$ to $(\mathfrak{s} \xrightarrow{a} \tau(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}))$ in $(\mathfrak{s} \downarrow \tau)$. In particular, $(\mathfrak{s} \downarrow \tau)$ is connected. □

Remark 3.17. A similar argument proves that $-\circ U\colon \mathit{SMack}(H) \to \mathit{SMack}(G)$ admits a left adjoint $\mathcal{L}_U\colon \mathit{SMack}(G) \to \mathit{SMack}(H)$. (For the case of Mackey functors, see also [3].)

Corollary 3.18. *Let U be an H-G-biset. Then the functor $-\circ U\colon \mathit{Tam}(H) \to \mathit{Tam}(G)$ admits a left adjoint.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorem 3.6. In fact $\gamma_H \circ \mathcal{L}_U$ gives the left adjoint. We also abbreviate this functor to $\mathfrak{L}_U$. □

Corollary 3.19. *Let U be an H-G-biset. Those functors $\mathfrak{L}_U$ and $\mathcal{L}_U$ are compatible.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Tam(H) & Tam(G) \arrow[l, "\mathfrak{L}_U"'] \\
SMack(H) \arrow[u, "\Omega_H{[-]}"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\circlearrowright"] & SMack(G) \arrow[l, "\mathcal{L}_U"] \arrow[u, "\Omega_G{[-]}"']
\end{tikzcd},$$

Proof. This follows from the commutativity of (2.5), and the uniqueness of left adjoint functors. □

References

[1] Borceux, F.: *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2. Categories and structures.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **51**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1994). xviii+443.

[2] Bouc, S.: *Biset functors for finite groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1990, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2010).

[3] Bouc, S.: *Green functors and G-sets*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1671, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).

[4] Mac Lane, S.: *Categories for the working mathematician.* Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **5**. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1998). xii+314 pp.

[5] Nakaoka, H.: *Tambarization of a Mackey functor and its application to the Witt-Burnside construction.* arXiv:1010.0812.

[6] Nakaoka, H.: *Ideals of Tambara functors.* arXiv:1101.5982.

[7] Nakaoka, H.: *On the fractions of semi-Mackey and Tambara functors.* arXiv:1103.3991.

[8] Tambara, D.: *On multiplicative transfer.* Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), no. 4, 1393–1420.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kagoshima University, 1-21-35 Korimoto, Kagoshima, 890-0065 Japan

E-mail address: `nakaoka@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp`